

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/660,495	09/12/2000	Arthur Koeppel	05793.3033	6314
22852	7590 01/14/2005		EXAMINER	
FINNEGAN, HENDERSON, FARABOW, GARRETT & DUNNER			GILLIGAN, CHRISTOPHER L	
LLP 901 NEW YO	RK AVENUE, NW		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	ON, DC 20001-4413	•	3626	
			DATE MAILED: 01/14/200	5

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

2. 8			. ~
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	A.
Advisory Action	09/660,495	KOEPPEL ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Luke Gilligan	3626	
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap	pears on the cover sheet wi	th the correspondence address	
THE REPLY FILED 22 December 2004 FAILS TO PLA Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Apple Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	avoid abandonment of this (1) a timely filed amendment	application. A proper reply to a	a in
	REPLY [check either a) or b) <u>]</u>	
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing d			
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of thin o event, however, will the statutory period for reply expired ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY W. 706.07(f).	e later than SIX MONTHS from the AS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTH	e mailing date of the final rejection. IS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See I	MPEP
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). To fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the O timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37	d of extension and the correspond of the shortened statutory period to ffice later than three months after	ling amount of the fee. The appropriat for reply originally set in the final Office	te extension
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellan 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 C	t's Brief must be filed withir FR 1.191(d)), to avoid dism	the period set forth in issal of the appeal.	
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered	because:		
(a) they raise new issues that would require furt	her consideration and/or se	earch (see NOTE below);	
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note	below);	,	,
(c) they are not deemed to place the application issues for appeal; and/or	in better form for appeal b	y materially reducing or simplify	ying the
(d) they present additional claims without cance NOTE:	eling a corresponding numb	per of finally rejected claims.	
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reje	ction(s): See Continuation	Sheet.	
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	d be allowable if submitted	in a separate, timely filed ame	ndment
5.⊠ The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for application in condition for allowance because: §	or reconsideration has been See Continuation Sheet.	n considered but does NOT pla	ce the
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered be raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	cause it is not directed SO	LELY to issues which were new	vly
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendme explanation of how the new or amended claims with the proposed amended claims with the proposed amendment of the proposed amen	nt(s) a)∏ will not be entere would be rejected is provide	ed or b)⊠ will be entered and a ed below or appended.	ın
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows	:		
Claim(s) allowed: <u>NONE</u> .			
Claim(s) objected to: NONE.			
Claim(s) rejected: <u>40-46,48-57,59-68,70-72 and 76</u>	-84 .		
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: NONE.			
8.☐ The drawing correction filed on is a)☐ ap	proved or b) disapprove	ed by the Examiner.	
9.⊠ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statem	ent(s)(PTO-1449) Paper N	o(s). <u>12152004</u> .	
10. Other:		Joseph Thors	
	\$	UPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINATION	r_
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office	·	TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3600	

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 11-03)

Application No. 009/660,495

Continuation of 3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): 103(a) of claims 14-18, 20-23, 26, and 73-75 as these claims are now canceled.

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: In the remarks filed 12/22/04, Applicants' argue in substance that Himmel fails to teach or suggest collecting data that includes information indicating the proportion of content actually viewable to a respective user. In response to Applicants' argument, the Examiner respectfully submits that data indicating the proportion of content actually viewable to a user is represented in Himmel as "the visible time, and any other additional information required, for which the advertisement A 604 was present." Because content is displayed to a user over time, recording visible time for which an advertisement was present is a form of data indicating the proportion of content actually viewable. Therefore, the Examiner respectfully submits that Himmel teaches this feature.